

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/855,923	05/15/2001	Margaret P. Opolski	112280-121US CN	4361
23483	7590 08/12/2004		EXAMINER	
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 60 STATE STREET			REDDICK, MARIE L	
BOSTON, M			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A 15 1/- \	/h				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/				
	09/855,923	OPOLSKI, MARGARET P.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Judy M. Reddick	1713					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11/2</u> :	1/03 & 07/16/04						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	•					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 14,16-19 and 31-38 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 14,16-19 and 31-38 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 16-19,31 and 34-38 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	armior. Note the attach	d Office Action of form 1 10-132.					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in a ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Application/Control Number: 09/855,923 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/21/03 has been entered.

# **Response to Amendment**

2. The Amendment filed on 07/16/04 coupled with the Opolski Declaration under 37 CFR § 1.132(11/21/03) is sufficient to remove the rejection of the claims based on Yamasoe et al (U.S. 5,478,872) and the rejection of the claims under 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph. However, the rejection under 35 USC § 112, 2nd paragraph, relative to "the supporting", is herein repeated. An additional rejection under 35 USC § 112, 2nd paragraph is raised, created as a result to the claim amendments(07/16/04) and is as set forth infra.

# **Specification**

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 14, 16-19 and 31-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- A) The recited "the supporting polyacrylate or polymethacrylate" per claim 14 @ line 8 constitutes indefinite subject matter as per their being insufficient basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/855,923 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

B) The recited "an average molecular weight" per claims 32 and 33 constitutes indefinite subject matter as per it not being readily ascertainable as to the type of molecular weight intended, i.e., "weight average" or "number average", the two being substantially different as substantiated by any basic polymer chemistry textbook.

## **Allowable Subject Matter**

- 6. Claims 14, 16-19 & 31-38 are deemed allowable over the prior art of record, Yamasoe et al(U.S. 5,478,872), meritorious of the closest prior art, based on the data provided in the Opolski Declaration under 37 CFR § 1.132.
- 7. Claims 16-19, 31 & 34-38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 14, 32 and 33 would be allowable if rewritten and/or amended so as to obviate the § 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph issues raised supra.

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments, filed 07/16/04, with respect to the rejection of claims 14, 16-19 and 31-37 under 35 USC § 102(e)/103(a) over Yamasoe et al have been fully considered and are persuasive. The prior art rejection based on Yamasoe et al has been withdrawn.

## Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Judy M. Reddick whose telephone number is (571)272-1110. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30 a.m.-3:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571)272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Judy M · Restuck Judy M. Reddick Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

JMR Ime 08/07/04